

[Appeal Grounds - Clauses 64 to 65]

- (1) The Accused Student in relation to an Investigation was not given a reasonable opportunity, appropriate to the circumstances, to present their case, provide an explanation or put forward a defence about the Misconduct Allegation.
- (2) The Accused Student in relation to a Hearing was not given a reasonable opportunity, appropriate to the circumstances, to present their case, provide an explanation or put forward a defence about the Misconduct Allegation.
- (3) An Investigator in carrying out an Investigation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, was biased or there was a reasonable apprehension of bias, against the Accused Student.
- (4) An Investigator was not authorised to carry out an Investigation it undertook into the Misconduct Allegation.
- (5) The Discipline Committee was not authorised to make the Discipline Committee Decision.
- (6) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, took an irrelevant consideration into account.
- (7) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, failed to take a relevant consideration into account.
- (8) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, acted dishonestly or for an improper purpose.
- (9) There was no evidence to justify a finding or recommendation made by an Investigator or the making of the Discipline Committee Decision by the Discipline Committee.
- (10) A finding or recommendation of an Investigator or the Discipline Committee Decision was made in accordance with a guideline or direction from the University of general application without regard to the merits of the particular case.
- (11) An Investigator in carrying out an Investigation or making a finding or recommendation in the particular case acted in accordance with the wishes of another person.
- (12) The Discipline Committee made the Discipline Committee Decision in the particular case in accordance with the wishes of another person.
- (13) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, did not follow the required procedure for doing so.

Student Discipline Procedure – Schedule 4