[Appeal Grounds – Clauses 64 to 65]

(1) The Accused Student in relation to an Investigation was not given a reasonable opportunity, appropriate to the circumstances, to present their case, provide an explanation or put forward a defence about the Misconduct Allegation.

(2) The Accused Student in relation to a Hearing was not given a reasonable opportunity, appropriate to the circumstances, to present their case, provide an explanation or put forward a defence about the Misconduct Allegation.

(3) An Investigator in carrying out an Investigation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, was biased or there was a reasonable apprehension of bias, against the Accused Student.

(4) An Investigator was not authorised to carry out an Investigation it undertook into the Misconduct Allegation.

(5) The Discipline Committee was not authorised to make the Discipline Committee Decision.

(6) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, took an irrelevant consideration into account.

(7) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, failed to take a relevant consideration into account.

(8) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, acted dishonestly or for an improper purpose.

(9) There was no evidence to justify a finding or recommendation made by an Investigator or the making of the Discipline Committee Decision by the Discipline Committee.

(10) A finding or recommendation of an Investigator or the Discipline Committee Decision was made in accordance with a guideline or direction from the University of general application without regard to the merits of the particular case.

(11) An Investigator in carrying out an Investigation or making a finding or recommendation in the particular case acted in accordance with the wishes of another person.

(12) The Discipline Committee made the Discipline Committee Decision in the particular case in accordance with the wishes of another person.

(13) An Investigator in making a finding or recommendation or the Discipline Committee in making the Discipline Committee Decision, did not follow the required procedure for doing so.